UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.         | FILING DATE                | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/828,417              | 04/21/2004                 | Hyeong-seok Ha       | Q80321              | 4536             |
| 23373<br>SUGHRUE MI     | 7590 11/28/200<br>ON, PLLC | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| 2100 PENNSY             | LVANIA AVENUE, N           | PHILIPPE, GIMS S     |                     |                  |
| SUITE 800<br>WASHINGTOI | N, DC 20037                | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |
|                         |                            |                      | 2621                |                  |
|                         |                            |                      |                     |                  |
|                         |                            |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                         |                            |                      | 11/28/2008          | PAPER            |

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. |                  | Applicant(s) |  |
|-----------------|------------------|--------------|--|
|                 | 10/828,417       | HA ET AL.    |  |
|                 | Examiner         | Art Unit     |  |
|                 | Gims S. Philippe | 2621         |  |

|  | Gillis S. Fillippe  | 2021   |  |
|--|---|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the   | correspondence add   | ress                                     |
| THE REPLY FILED 10 November 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS   | APPLICATION IN CONDITION F  | OR ALLOWANCE.  |  |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:  | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi<br>eal (with appeal fee) in compliance        | t, or other evidence, w<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; or           | hich places the (3) a Request            |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing   | date of the final rejection.  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(   | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing<br>b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE<br>f).   | g date of the final rejection<br>FIRST REPLY WAS FI        | on.<br>LED WITHIN TWO                    |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply original. | of the fee. The appropria<br>inally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp   | liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be  | filed within two months                                    | s of the date of                         |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter<br>Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w<br>AMENDMENTS  |   |  | e appeal. Since a                        |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b  | out prior to the date of filing a brief,  | will not be entered be                                     | cause                                    |
| (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor   |   | TE below);   |  |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  | **  |  |  |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet  | ter form for appeal by materially re  | ducing or simplifying t                                    | ne issues for                            |
| appeal; and/or   | arragnanding number of finally rei  | noted alaima   |  |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c<br>NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).   | corresponding number of finally reje  | ected claims.  |  |
| _  | 21 San attached Nation of Nan Co  | maliant Amandment (  | DTOL 224)                                |
|  |   | mpliant Amendment (  | PTOL-324).                               |
| <ul><li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li><li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all</li></ul>   |   | timaly filed emandmen                                      | ot concoling the                         |
| non-allowable claim(s).  | owable ii submitted in a separate,  | umely filed afficilidifier                                 | it canceling the                         |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |   | ll be entered and an e                                     | xplanation of                            |
| Claim(s) allowed:<br>Claim(s) objected to:   |   |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:<br>Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20 and 22-30</u> .  |   |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |   |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |   |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>   | I sufficient reasons why the affidav  | it or other evidence is                                    | necessary and                            |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br/>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o<br/>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>   | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear<br>and was not earlier presented. So   | al and/or appellant fail<br>ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1           | s to provide a<br>).                     |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation   | n of the status of the claims after e   | ntry is below or attach                                    | ed.                                      |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  |   |  |  |
| <ul> <li>11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.</li> <li>12. Note the attached Information Displayers Statement(s)</li> </ul>   |   | n condition for allowan                                    | ce because:                              |
| <ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>   | F 10/30/00/ Paper No(s).  |  |  |
|  | /Gims S Philippe/   |  |  |
|  | Primary Examiner, Art U   | Init 2621  |  |
|  | <del>-</del>  |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: preparation of the tag information is disclosed in Wu paragraphs 9 and 39. In addition, indexing is disclosed in Wu paragraph [0070], Wu in provides the meaning of "tag", the examiner is relying on Wu in interpreting the limitations. Motion estimation is performed in Wu fig. 6, items 610, 611 and 612 as well as fig. 2, item 206. The applicant's arguments are not deemed to be persuasive.